

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/784,977	02/16/2001	Seiya Takahashi	14328	3787
7590 04/04/2006			EXAMINER	
Paul J. Esatto, Jr.			GORDON, BRIAN R	
Scully, Scott, Murphy & Presser 400 Garden City Plaza Garden City, NY 11530			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1743	
			DATE MAILED: 04/04/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/784,977	TAKAHASHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brian R. Gordon	1743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of this od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1-	<u>19-06</u> .					
	_ 					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,28,30-41,44-49,51-57,61 and 63</u> . 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>68</u> is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,28,30-41,44-49,51-57,61 and 63</u> . 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	n from consideration. -67 is/are rejected.	elication.				
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 19, 2006 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

2. Newly submitted claim 69 is directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: The device of claim 1 does not require "a means for generating a control signal" as recited in claim 69. Furthermore, such means maybe considered new matter for applicant has not indicated where support for such signaling device is provided for in the specification.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 69 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Specification

3. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Interpretations

4. Applicant has amended the claim to incorporate "configured to" clauses that imply intended use of a particular element and desired function of the device as a whole. Configured to is essentially interpreted in the same manner as "adapted to" and "capable of". Furthermore claims 41 and 44 recite the phrase "capable of".

It has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" or "capable of" performing a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138.

The only structural requirements of claim 1 is at least one conduit, an actuator, a voltage language. It appears that applicant would need for a programmed controller or computer, or other particular further structure which controls the device in the particular manner in which applicant intends for it to be used. The examiner suggests amending the claim to recite, "a voltage controller programmed to…."

The examiner asserts the actuators of each of the recited references do move the respective liquid holding members in a direction opposite to the dispensing directions. The devices of the references are electrically operated inherently comprising an element for supplying voltage.

Page 4

Furthermore, the references recite deceleration and abrupt stoppage of the liquid holding means is the force that causes or initiates the liquid to be dispensed. The references do not teach dispensing occurs instantaneously or only at a stoppage point. Furthermore, while the claim attempts to describe how dispensing occurs, applicant's claims do not preclude dispensing from occurring when movement occurs in the dispensing direction. It is unclear at what point the actual dispensing occurs.

The examiner suggest amending the claim 1 to incorporate a phrase such as: "wherein dispensing occurs only when the conduit member moves in said opposite direction".

Claims 30-33 are process limitations and furthermore are redundant claims. The claims are directed to how one intends to move the device. The claims do not add any additional structure to that of the base claim 1. Claim 30 repeats the same function as recited in claim 1. Claim 32 repeats the function already established in claim 1. Claims 31 and 33 duplicate the information recited in claim 28. The claims are essentially the same and are considered to be redundant claims. Redundant claims should be canceled.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed January 19, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant has amended independent claim 1 and selective dependent claims but the examiner asserts the amendment of the claims has not established the claims as being further structurally limiting. As previously stated the claims (28, 30-40, 50, and

55) are not further structural limiting of the respective claims. The claims are moreso directed to functional limitations, intended use, or a desired affect one would like to achieve by using the device. Such limitations do not add structure to the device, hence they are not considered further limiting as such a device which meets the limitations of the base claims is also applicable to such claims.

As to the 102 rejections, applicant asserts Feygin, Shalon, and Rose et al. do not teach each required limitation of the claim.

As recited above the only structure limitations required in the claim are at least one liquid holding means, an actuator that moves the liquid holding member, and a voltage mechanism. The examiner asserts actuators of the prior art are capable of moving in vertical directions thereby being capable of functioning as claimed by applicant.

Applicant's arguments are based entirely on intended use and how the device is intended to function not any structural differences in instant invention and that of the prior art.

For reasons given herein, the examiner hereby maintain the previous art rejections.

Applicant's claims have been amended extensively in comparison to the original claims. Furthermore, applicant has added numerous new claims not originally present in the claims. The examiner hereby requests applicant specify where each of the limitations of the amendments and those of the claims not originally filed be

provided with locations of the support for the limitations. If applicant fails to provide such the limitations may be considered new matter.

The examiner has proposed amendments. The amendments are based upon the contents of the claims and the examiner has not extensively searched the specification for support of the proposed suggestions. The suggestions are to aid applicant in clarifying the office action and provide meaningful direction in the prosecution of case.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1, 28, 30-40, 45-48, 51-53, 55, and 61-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to claim 1, while the function of the voltage mechanism is not considered further structurally limiting, it is unclear at what point actual dispensing occurs. What element does applicant consider as the voltage mechanism? The examiner suggest amending the claim to incorporate a programmed controller as specified above in order have the function to be considered further limiting. In addition to specifying exactly at what point dispensing occurs.

Claims 28, 30-40, and 55 are directed to process limitations or intended use.

The claims are not only repetitive, but are also directed when and how the conduit is moved. The claims do not add any further structural limitations to those established in the base claim.

Claim 1 already states the device is intended to function by moving the at least one conduit in a dispensing direction, temporarily stopping movement thereof, and moving the at least one conduit in a direction opposite said dispensing direction.

Claims 34-36 are directed to accelerating the conduit member which is directed to how one intends to perform dispensing. However if applicant chooses to amend claim on to incorporate the controller as suggested above. Claim 34, could be amended to be considered further structurally limiting. For example, "wherein said controller is programmed to accelerate the at least one conduit member in the dispensing direction at a first magnitude and in the direction opposite to said dispensing directions at second magnitude."

Subsequently claim 35 could read: wherein the said first magnitude of acceleration is less than the second magnitude of acceleration.

Claims 35 and 36 are essentially redundant. There is no difference in what the claims establish. The examiner suggests canceling claim 36.

Claims 37-40 are directed to what happens before or after process of moving the at least one conduit. In the context of the claims as presently drafted the claims are direct to process limitations. If applicant wishes for such limitation to be considered further structurally limiting, the examiner further suggests relating the functions to the programming of the suggested controller.

As to claim 45, the word "farther" should be "further". It is unclear what element of the device applicant considers as the "means for holding the cleaning solution inside the conduit".

Page 8

As to claim 46, it is unclear what element of the device applicant considers as the "means for forming an air space". It should be understood that the liquid is not claimed as an element of the invention. As such a means for forming an air space can simply mean the device has the ability to aspirate air.

As to claim 47 it is unclear what element is considered as he means for sucking. It would appear the same means employed to establish an air space is also employed to such liquid.

As to claim 48, there is no additional structure added to the device. The claim is directed to an air space and liquid. The air space and liquid are not elements of the structure.

Claim 49 is interpreted as a pump that has the ability of supplying pressure for drawing in liquid or air. It appears the pump in claim 49 maybe the same means of claims 45-47. Where are the means of the claims specifically defined in the specification? If not properly established the material will be considered new matter.

As to claim 51, it is unclear what element the pronoun "its" is directed to. There is no basis in the claims for "it's one end". What one end? Of what? It appears as if it should read: "through the dispensing end". It appears as if "the conduit member" should be "the at least one conduit member".

As to claim 52, there is not basis for "the liquid holding member". Furthermore it is unclear where the dispensing vent is located in the at least conduit member. The location would determine if the conduit member is tapered on both sides of the dispensing vent.

Claim 53 is directed to a force or what acts upon the liquid to allow for liquid to be within the conduit member. What does applicant consider as the capillary action means? It actually appears as if applicant intends to claim the at least one conduit member is a capillary with dimensions that allow for liquid to enter into the interior of the capillary via capillary action.

Claim 55 is not further structurally limiting for the claim merely recites what force affects the liquid. The force is not a structural limitation of the device. The force is a factor during the use of or is intended to have some affect when the device is used.

Gravity acts upon the device, but gravity to is a phenomenon not a structural limitation.

As to claim 61, it is unclear in what order applicant intends for the steps to occur. The claim recites "a step of" as to simply imply the steps can be present in any order in the process. The examiner suggests removing the phrase "a step of" in both places in the claim.

As to claim 63, there is not stopping step in claim 61. As such, it unclear what is meant by the claim.

As to claims 64-66 there is not step of moving the in the dispensing direction. As such it is unclear what is meant by the claim.

Claims 65 and 66 appear to recite the same information. Furthermore there is no step of acceleration in either direction recited.

7. Claims 1, 28, 30-40, 42-43, 48, 50, 53, 55, and 63 are directed to process limitations of how the device is used or intended use of the device. The claims do not further limit the structure of the device. The claims moreso address a particular use of the device or the process of how the device is used to dispense a liquid and what occurs during that process.

The functional recitations directed to when a certain action takes place (such as for example, movement in claim 30 and others) have not been given patentable weight because it is in narrative form. In order to be given patentable weight, a functional recitation must be expressed as a "means" for performing the specified function, as set forth in 35 USC 112, 6th paragraph, and must be supported by recitation in the claim of sufficient structure to warrant the presence of the functional language. *In re Fuller*, 1929 C.D. 172; 388 O.F.279.

It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

It has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" or "capable of" performing a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

9. Claims 1, 28, 30-41, 44-49, 51-53, 55, 57, 61, and 63-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Feygin US 5,957,167.

Feygin discloses a method that is carried out using a micro volume liquid dispenser that includes, as a liquid carrier, a plurality of fluid-dispensing members. Each fluid-dispensing member comprises two opposed surfaces in spaced relation to one another and suitably configured for aspiring and holding (liquid holding member/conduit) a small volume of liquid via capillary action. Each fluid-dispensing member retains and delivers a liquid volume within the range of about 0.5 to about 5 microliters. The present micro volume liquid dispenser further includes an actuator (driving member/actuator) for moving/accelerating the fluid-dispensing members and for stopping/abruptly decelerating the fluid-dispensing members. The actuator can use a biasing member, such as a spring, for accelerating the fluid-dispensing members, and a "stop" for abruptly decelerating the fluid-dispensing members. Alternatively, the actuator can utilize more sophisticated pneumatic, hydraulic or electrodynamic systems. As noted above, abruptly decelerating moving fluid-dispensing members causes retained liquid to issue therefrom. Such dispensed liquid can be directed toward, and received by, an intended receiver.

As seen in the figures the end of the holding member tapers in a direction toward the deposition substrate.

10. Claims 1, 61, and 63-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shalon et al. US 6,309,891.

Page 12

Shalon et al. disclose a printing system comprising a pod, a **detachable** printing device, a substrate, a positioner and a preservation device, wherein (a) the pod comprises a receptacle for reversibly attaching an attachment portion of the printing device; (b) the printing device comprises a reservoir containing a liquid comprising a predetermined agent and in fluid connection with the reservoir, a capillary comprising an axial bore having proximal and distal openings to ambient pressure and a printing tip comprising the distal opening and which prints the agent on the substrate; (c) the positioner moves (actuator) the pod relative to the substrate; and (d) the preservation device is within, containing or in contact with the printing device and preserves the capability of the printing device to print the agent on the substrate over long-term storage.

Suitable printing devices include any structural member which combines by fluid connection the requisite reservoir and capillary (liquid holding member/conduit). For example, the printing device may simply be a capillary comprising a liquid-filled bore having a proximate reservoir portion and terminating at a distal portion comprising the printing tip. Alternatively, the reservoir may comprise a more voluminous non-capillary liquid filled chamber having a relatively larger internal diameter in fluid connection with a capillary comprising the printing tip. The reservoir portion of the printing device is adapted to contain and contains a liquid comprising a predetermined agent.

Suitable positioners include any device which provides the requisite positioning of the printing device to effect the desired printing sites on the substrate. Frequently, the positioner will provide a first positioning within the two-dimensional plane of the substrate surface and a second positioning perpendicular to the surface to effect contact printing on the surface. The positioner is generally electomechanically operated by a computer controlled robot.

The invention provides methods of making, using and storing the subject systems including methods for printing liquids comprising agents or analytes on substrates with the printing systems, particularly printing methods which comprise the step of decelerating the capillary to move the liquid through the bore, out the tip and onto the substrate (dispensing movment). A wide variety of methods may be used for loading and/or unloading the printing devices, including passive capillary loading and unloading from the printing tip, vacuum-assisted unloading, active pressure purging, etc.

Referring to FIG. 20, a printing device 11 is shown in fluid connection through tubing 181 with a sample reservoir 201.

Again, it is noted that claims 28-40, 42-43, 48, 50, 53, 55, and 63 are in the form of method steps and do not further limit the structure of the claims that they depend upon. The claims are directed to process steps in which the device is used in a particular manner, which are not accorded patentable weight in claims directed to the apparatus.

11. Claim 1, 28, 30-41, 44-49, 54-57, 61, and 63-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rose et al. US 6,551,557.

Page 14

Rose et al. disclose a ceramic tip and a random access print head for the transfer of microfluidic quantities of fluid. The print head can randomly collect and deposit fluid samples to transfer the samples from a source plate to a target. The print head can also be programmed to create a direct map of the fluid samples from the source plate on the target or to create any desired pattern or print on the target. The tip and print head can be used for a wide variety of applications such as DNA microarraying and compound reformatting. In one preferred embodiment, the tip is used as a capillary or "gravity" pin to draw or collect source fluid and "spot" or deposit the fluid onto the target via physical contact (touch-off). In another preferred embodiment, the tip is used in conjunction with an aspirate-dispense system to actively aspirate source fluid and deposit the fluid via a contact or non-contact approach.

The contact transfer tip (liquid holding member/ conduit) generally comprises a substantially cylindrical upper body portion, a substantially tapered lower body portion and a lumen cavity. The substantially cylindrical upper body portion has a first outside diameter. The substantially tapered lower body portion has a second outside diameter at a transition portion thereof which is substantially equal to the first outside diameter of the upper portion. The substantially tapered lower body portion further has a third diameter at a lower-most end thereof which is smaller than the first or second diameters and which approximately equals the diameter of a spot or dot of fluid desired to be deposited onto the target substrate.

In use, initially all the tips 200 (FIG. 3) are raised by energizing the solenoids 238. The print head 230 is positioned and aligned over the source 29 by utilizing the

robot arm 252 and/or the movable platforms 254. For random access collection, a first tip 200 is lowered by de-energizing or turning off the corresponding solenoid 238. The first tip 200 dips into a microwell of the source plate 29 to draw fluid by capillary action. The first tip 200 is raised by energizing the corresponding solenoid 238 (actuator). Relative motion is provided between the source plate 29 and the print head 230, by the robot arm 252 and/or the movable platform 254, to align a second tip 200 with a corresponding microwell of the source plate 29. The second tip 200 is lowered and collects source fluid from the microwell. The second tip 200 is then raised. Subsequent tips 200 are lowered and raised in a similar manner. This random access collection process is continued until all the tips 200 are loaded with the sample fluid.

In one preferred embodiment, a **wash station** (washing means) 256 (FIG. 3) is provided in combination or conjunction with the random access tip array 230 to maintain a dry tip. The wash station 256 generally comprises a vacuum dry system 79 (FIGS. 3 and 6A) to remove any excess fluid that may have adhered to the outer surface of the tip 200 during dipping of the tips 200 in the source reagent or due to any moisture build-up on the outer surface of the tip 200, for example, due to condensation from the air environment.

Referring to FIG. 7, the syringe **pump 22** (may be used to aspirate air) is connected to the reservoir 16 and the dispenser 12 using tubing 23 provided with luer-type fittings for connection to the syringe and dispenser. Various shut-off valves 25 and check valves (not shown) may also be used, as desired or needed, to direct the flow of fluid 14 to and/or from the reservoir 16, syringe pump 22 and dispenser 12. The

reservoir 16 contains a **wash or system fluid 14**, such as distilled water, which fills most of the aspirate-dispense system 10.

Page 16

In one form of the present invention a solenoid dispenser 12, schematically illustrated in FIG. 11, is preferred. Referring to FIG. 11, the solenoid valve dispenser 12 generally comprises a solenoid-actuated drop-on-demand valve 20, including a valve portion 34 and a solenoid actuator 32, hydraulically coupled to the tube or tip 200 of the present invention. The nozzle 214 of the tip 200 serves as the aspirating and dispensing nozzle. The solenoid valve 20 is energized by one or more electrical pulses 13 provided by a pulse generator 19 to open and close the valve 20 at a predetermined frequency and/or duty cycle. A detailed description of one typical solenoid-actuated valve can be found in U.S. Pat. No. 5,741,554, incorporated herein by reference. The tip (FIGS. 1 and 7) of the present invention may also be used in conjunction with a number of other dispensers well known in the art for dispensing a liquid, such as a **piezoelectric** dispenser (deforms internal shape of the holding member), a fluid impulse dispenser, a heat actuated dispenser (device for adding thermal energy) or the like.

In one preferred embodiment, prior to aspiration of source fluid the syringe pump 22 (FIG. 7) is operated in the reverse direction with the nozzle orifice 216 (FIG. 1) exposed to the atmosphere to draw a small quantity of **air** into the tip 200. Referring to FIG. 13, this forms a small air bubble 262 within the system fluid 14 in the tip 200. The volume of the bubble 262 can be in the range from less than about 0.5 μ L to greater than about 1.0 μ L. The tip 200 is then dipped in the source fluid and the syringe pump 22 is decremented to aspirate source fluid 264 (FIG. 13) into the tip 200. In effect, the

bubble 262 causes the aspirated fluid laminar velocity profile 266 to have a generally blunt shape by reducing the fluid drag imposed on the aspirated fluid 264 near the tip inner surface or wall 221. Advantageously, this reduces the area of the interface between the system fluid 14 and the aspirated source fluid 264, and hence desirably reduces the mixing and dilution of the aspirated fluid 264 with the system fluid 14.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian R. Gordon whose telephone number is 571-272-1258. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, with 2nd and 4th F off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

brg

ERM